

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE | OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

SUBJECT				CASE NUMBER			
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)				2021-009180			
	tion Judge	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~					
(b)(6): (b)(7)(C)							
OFFICE CO	NDUCTING INV	STIGATION		DOJ COMPONENT	l		
	rea Office			Executive Office for I	Immigration Revi	eω	
DOSTOTIA	irea Office			Executive office for t	THINGI GUOTI NEVI	CVV	
DISTRIBUT	TON		STATUS	l.			
	Field Office	NYFO	□ OPEN	☐ OPEN PENDING	PROSECUTION	⊠	CLOSED
	AIGINV		PREVIC	OUS REPORT SUBMITTED:	□ YES	\boxtimes	NO
\boxtimes	Component	EOIR		Date of Previous Report:			
	component	LOIN		Date of Fredricas Reports			
	USA						
	Other						
5			, C.	YNOPSIS			*
			3	INOFSIS			
The De	nautosant of l	estica (DOI) O	ffice of the lacasets	or Conoral (OIC) initiat	ad this investigat	dan II	non the version of
				or General (OIG) initiat n Review (EOIR) allegir			
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	sexually har			y making inappropriat			orrjudge
b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	at after-work		- TANKER CONTROL OF THE PARTY O	у плакату птарргоргіас	e comments		
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	ac arear from	Social Garrieri					
						_	
The OIG	G investigation	n substantiate	d the allegation tha	at sexually ha	rassed a fema <u>le l</u>		mployee by
making	inappropriate	e comments a	bout her breasts d	uring an after-work so	cial gathering); (b)(7)(C)	in
	n of DOJ's zer	o tolerance po	olicy on harassmen	t and EOIR policy.	ØA· K⊖1		
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)							
	eptember 19, 202	23	411	(b)(5); (b)(7)(C)			
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) SIGNATU			URE				
	BY SPECIAL AGEN				12.		
The state of the s	eptember 19, 202	3	SIGNAT	IRE A			signed by RYAN GEACH
Ryan T. Ge	BY SPECIAL AGE	NT IN CHARGE	SIGNATO	45	Da	te: 20	23.09.19 09:09:27 -04'00'

OIG Form III-210/1 (04/15/2022)

Portions of the Report of Investigation may not be exempt under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a).

CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

DATE:

September 19,

2023

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Regarding the allegation of sexual harassment, during the OIG's investigation, two witnesses told the OIG that they were present with the oignificant of at an after-work social gathering and witnessed him make comments to a female DOJ employee about her breasts that the witnesses felt were inappropriate. The female DOJ employee who was the recipient of comments also confirmed to the OIG that made the comments, the comments were unwelcome, and the comments made her feel uncomfortable.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)	
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
In a voluntary interview, denied that he made any in	appropriate comments to
recalled the specific social gath	ering where he is alleged to have made the
inappropriate comments, but denied making any comments	
	about a female employee's chest.
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	
U.S. Department of Justice	PAGE: 2

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Office of the Inspector General

9)(6);(b)(7)(C) (
A review of LearnDOJ Internal Training Report by the OIG revealed that had completed thirty-six training courses that included Annual Ethics Training and DOJ Sexual Harassment and Misconduct Awareness Training.	
b)(6):/b)(7)(C)	

The OIG has completed its investigation and is providing this report to the EOIR and the Department's Professional Misconduct Review Unit for appropriate action.

Unless otherwise noted, the OIG applies the preponderance of the evidence standard in determining whether DOJ personnel have committed misconduct. The Merit Systems Protection Board applies this same standard when reviewing a federal agency's decision to take adverse action against an employee based on such misconduct. See 5 U.S.C. § 7701(c)(1)(B); 5 C.F.R. § 1201.56(b)(1)(ii).

U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General PAGE: 3

CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

September 19, DATE:

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

DETAILS OF INVESTIGATION

Predication

U.S. Department of Justice

Office of the Inspector General

The Department of Justice (DOJ) Office of the Inspector General (OIG) initiated this investigation upon the receipt of information from the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) alleging that EOIR Immigration Judge
sexually harassed (1967) by making inappropriate comments (1967) by making inappropria
(NOTE): (DISTANCE) at after work social gathering (NOTE): (DISTANCE)
(D)(6); (D)(7)(C)
Investigative Process
The OIG's investigative efforts consisted of the following:
Interviews of the following EOIR personnel:
• (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) Immigration Judge
Interview of the following personnel: • (PADE SERVICE) • (PADE SERVICE)
Review of the following: • LearnDOJ training history for (B)(B)(B)(B)(B)(B)(B)(B)(B)(B)(B)(B)(B)(
Inappropriate, Sexually Oriented Comments about a Female EOIR Employee's Breasts
The information provided to the OIG alleged that, (INFO) Sexually harassed (INFO) Sexually haras
29 C.F.R. § 1604.11, "Sexual Harassment," states in pertinent part the following:
(a) Harassment on the basis of sex is a violation of section 703 of title VII. 1 Unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature constitute sexual

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

harassment when (1) submission to such conduct is made either explicitly or implicitly a term or

PAGE: 4

DATE:

CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

September 19,

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

condition of an individual's employment, (2) submission to or rejection of such conduct by an individual is used as the basis for employment decisions affecting such individual, or (3) such conduct has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive working environment.

•••

(b) In determining whether alleged conduct constitutes sexual harassment, the Commission will look at the record as a whole and at the totality of the circumstances, such as the nature of the sexual advances and the context in which the alleged incidents occurred. The determination of the legality of a particular action will be made from the facts, on a case by case basis.

5 C.F.R. § 735.203, "Employee Responsibilities and Conduct" states in pertinent part the following:

"an employee shall not engage in criminal, infamous, dishonest, immoral, or notoriously disgraceful conduct, or other conduct prejudicial to the Government."

The DOJ, Office of the Attorney General, Prevention of Harassment in the Workplace, Policy Memorandum 2015-04, states in part:

The Department of Justice will maintain a zero tolerance work environment that is free from harassment (including sexual harassment) based on sex, race, color, religion, national origin, gender identity, age, disability (physical or mental), genetic information, status as a parent, sexual orientation, marital status, political affiliations, or any other impermissible factor. . . . Harassing conduct is defined as any unwelcome verbal or physical conduct that is based on any of the above-referenced characteristics when this conduct explicitly or implicitly affects an individual's employment; unreasonably interferes with an individual's work performance; or creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive work environment.

To enforce this zero tolerance policy, the Department will treat harassing conduct as misconduct, even if it does not rise to the level of harassment actionable under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. The Department will not wait for a pattern of offensive conduct to emerge before addressing claims of harassment. Rather, the Department will act before the harassing conduct is so pervasive and offensive as to constitute a hostile environment. Even where a single utterance of an ethnic, sexual, racial, or other offensive epithet may not be severe enough to constitute unlawful harassment in violation of Title VII, it is the Department's view that such conduct must be prevented whenever possible through awareness, robust policies and effective and appropriate follow-up, investigation, and enforcement of the zero tolerance policy. The Department will not tolerate retaliation against any employee for making a good-faith report of harassing conduct or for participating in any inquiry about such a report

The DOJ, Office of the Attorney General, Off-Duty Conduct Policy Memorandum dated January 29, 2016, in part:

The following sets forth the basis for the expectation that Department employees will comport themselves appropriately on and off the job; explains the legal foundation for the principle that off-duty conduct may be grounds for discipline; and gives examples of off-duty activities that have resulted in employee discipline.

Executive Order 12674 as modified by Executive Order 12731 provides that "Public Service is a public trust, requiring employees to place loyalty to the Constitution, the laws, and ethical principles above private gain." Relevant to activities outside of the workplace, the Executive Order states that - Employees shall [1] satisfy

U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General PAGE: 5

CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

DATE: September 19,

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

in good faith their obligations to citizens, including all just financial obligations that are imposed by law, [2] adhere to all laws and regulations that provide equal opportunity for all Americans regardless of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, or handicap, and [3] avoid any actions creating the appearance that they are violating the law or the ethical standards promulgated pursuant to this order, specifically, off-duty conduct which has resulted in federal employees being disciplined, and in some cases removed from federal service, includes: sexual misconduct; racist or sexist remarks or conduct.

EOIR Ethics and Professionalism Guide for Immigration Judges, details, in part:

Standards of Conduct - An Immigration Judge shall comply with the standards of conduct applicable to all attorneys in the Department of Justice, including the Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, Codified in Title 5 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and the Department's supplemental regulations at 5 C.F.R. Part 3801 and 28 C.F.R Part 45.

Appearance of Impropriety - An Immigration Judge shall endeavor to avoid any actions that, in the judgment of a reasonable person with knowledge of the relevant facts, would create the appearance that he or she is violating the law or Applicable ethical standards.

In an OIG interview, (b)(6), (b)(7)(5)	said that she attended a social gathering	; (b)(7)(C)
b/(6); (b)(T)(C)	Among those also in atten	dance were (0)(6)
b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	and ^{(0)(6); (b)(7)(2)}	(b)(6): (b)(7)(C) said that
during the social gathering and in the presence of oth	ers, [b)(5): (b)(7)(C) said to her, "I can't stop looking a	it your boobs."
told the OIG that (1975) repeated this or similar	ar comments referencing her breasts and his	inability to stop
looking at them more than once and she confirmed th		
that, at the time made the inappropriate com		
on the blouse was inadvertently unfastened. [BIT 15]		
simply hoped they would stop, but after the comment		
fastened the button on her shirt. (b)(6): told the OIG t	hat she and क्षेत्रिक agreed that कार्क क्षित्रक comr	nents were
inappropriate, but neither felt comfortable reporting t	:hem	
because they believed (9/6): (9/7)(5) and (9/6): (9/7)(5) were fried		ed the social
gathering approximately forty minutes after (9)(6)(16)(7)(C)	made his last comment about her breasts.	
(b)(6)()	: 11 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)	
In an OIG interview, (%)(6)(7)(7) said that she attended a sociand witnessed (%)(6)(7)(7) make comments about (%)(6)(7)(7)	breasts during the gathering. (S)(0)(c) said she	hoard (pig) (p)(7)(C)
tell that he could not stop looking at her "boobs		
that she and light later went to the bathroom togeth		
said that she was not surprised that	ake such comments (a)(6)(-(b)(7)(G)	Well d. WARAS
b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	and such comments	*
<i>i</i> 0 = −−− ⊗	50	
In an OIG interview, (b)(7)(c) said that she also attended	d a social gathering (SIE): (SI/T)(C)	
and witnessed make comments about		aid that
나는 그는	I want to stare at them," or "they're beautiful	
to stare at them," and "I feel like I really just need to st	repe	ated comments
U.S. Department of Justice	PAGE:	6
Office of the Inspector General	CASE NUMBER:	2021-009180
***	DATE	September 19,

DATE:

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

made her feel uncomfortable, and therefore she left the gathering with attendance.	who was also in
(b/6); (b)/(7)(C)	
In a voluntary interview, (a) (a) (a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	s about or ed he never made gathering as was being in al gathering that it
The OIG reviewed LearnDOJ training report provided by EOIR. The report confirmed completed thirty-six training courses from to include Annual Ethics Training and DOJ Sexual Harassment and Misconduct Awareness Training on (D)	ACCUSED TO A STREET OF THE STR
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	
OIG's Conclusion	
tolerance policy on harassment, including sexual harassment, and EOIR's policy. Although making any comments about breasts, descriptions of the incident by and two wit assessed and deemed to be credible by the OIG. These independent descriptions were consistent the important details and, although denied the allegations, some of the answers he consistent with the recollections of the other three attendees. In addition, told the OIG remembered telling the day after the social gathering that it was nice to meet	lation of DOJ's zero strongly denied tnesses were tent with each other provided were also G that he and asked the OIG
U.S. Department of Justice PA Office of the Inspector General CASE NUM	
D	ATE: September 19, 2023

statement to be somewhat revealing because, during the interview, was not victim of the sexual harassment being investigated by the OIG. At no point in the interview consider that the alleged comments could have been directed at who he clearly reca	seem to seem t
U.S. Department of Justice	PAGE: 8

U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General

CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

September 19, DATE:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)	T)

U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General

PAGE: 9 CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

> September 19, DATE:

(a)(5); (a)(7)(C)		
U.S. Department of Justice	PAGE:	

U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General

CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

September 19, DATE:

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

(a)(6), (b)(7)(C).	
U.S. Department of Justice	PAGE: 11
	DACE: 11

Office of the Inspector General

CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

DATE: September 19, 2023

(a)(6), (a)(7)(C)	
West and College Colle	
	2.82

U.S. Department of Justice

Office of the Inspector General

PAGE: 12

CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

September 19, DATE:

U.S. Department of Justice	PAGE:	13
b/6); (b)(7)(D)		

U.S. Department of Justice

Office of the Inspector General CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

> September 19, DATE:

b)(6), (b)(7)(C)	
U.S. Department of Justice	PAGE: 14

Office of the Inspector General

CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

September 19, DATE:

(a)(a); (a)(7)(C)	
	2711 22
U.S. Department of Justice	PAGE: 15

U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General

CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

September 19, DATE:

b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	

U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General

PAGE: 16 CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180

> September 19, DATE:

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	

U.S. Department of Justice PAGE: 17

CASE NUMBER: 2021-009180 Office of the Inspector General

> September 19, DATE: