

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

SUBJECT		CASE NUMBER	
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)		2021-000707	
Attorney (former)			
Environment and Natural Resources	Division		
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)			
OFFICE CONDUCTING INVESTIGATION		OJ COMPONENT	
Fraud Detection Office	Er	vironment and Natural Resources Division	
DISTRIBUTION	STATUS		
☑ Field Office FDO	□ OPEN I	☐ OPEN PENDING PROSECUTION ☒ CLOSED	
	PREVIOUS F	REPORT SUBMITTED: □ YES ☒ NO	
☑ Component ENRD	Date	of Previous Report:	
ET LISA			
□ USA (0)(6): (0)(7)(¢) and			
☑ Other OPR			
	SYN	OPSIS	
The Department of Listing (DOL) Of			
		eneral (OIG) initiated this investigation upon the receipt of	
information from the Environment and Natural Resources Division (ENRD) Ethics Office, alleging that on Self-reported a potential financial conflict of interest with an investigation to			
which he was assigned in connection			
(b)(6): (b)(7)(C)		to assist (%)(5)(with an ongoing civil investigation (%)(7)(c)	
Бую); (р)(7)(C) In (b)(б); (b)(7	con con	sulted with ENRD ethics personnel regarding stock his wife	
owned in (b)(6): (b)(7)(C)			
During the source of the OIC invest	igation the OIC found	lindications that many have also failed to report stocks	
		I indications that [[0](5]) may have also failed to report stocks of Government Ethics (OGE) Forms 450, Confidential	
Financial Disclosure Report.	tea mis required office	e of dovernment Ethics (Ode) Forms 450, confidential	
This relations of the port.			
The OIG investigation substantiated	d the allegation that	failure to disclose his wife's ownership of failure to disclose his wife's ownership of	
		igation of created the appearance of a financial	
conflict of interest. However, because sought ethics advice and followed the advice to recuse himself from the			
investigation once he became aware of his wife's ownership of stock, the OIG did not find that			
violated the federal ethics regulations pertaining to disqualifying financial interests. The OIG investigation			
required, on his OGE Forms 450 for		oldings, which were imputed to him, and report them, as	
required, off fils OGE Forms 450 for	years exercises	ı,	
DATE August 18, 2022		Þ)(6); (Φ)(7)(C)	
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	SIGNATURE		
PREPARED BY SPECIAL AGENT			
DATE August 18, 2022		Digitally signed by Andrew Hartwell	
Andrew B. Hartwell	SIGNATURE	Date: 2022.08.18 16:49:06 -04'00'	



None of the witnesses interviewed by the OIG had any direct or personal knowledge that (1) intentionally failed to disclose his wife's financial holdings as they related to (1) intentionally failed to disclose his wife's financial holdings as they related to (1) intentionally failed to report his wife's financial interests before he self-reported his wife's ownership of (1) intentionally failed to report his wife's financial interests before he self-reported his wife's ownership of (1) intentionally failed to report his wife's financial holdings on his OGE 450s.
The OIG reviewed the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) regarding (MOU) regarding detail to (MOU) to work on the (MOU) investigation, (MOU) possible of (MOU) regarding (MOU) r
In a voluntary OIG interview, admitted that his wife owned stock; however, he maintained that he was unaware of the holdings at the time he was detailed to one in ENRD or of asked him if he or his wife owned (SOC) (SOC) stock when he was assigned to the social investigation as a detailee.
denied having knowledge of his wife's stock holdings until he specifically sought details about them after another member of the investigative team had to recuse himself from the investigation. When became aware that his wife owned stock, he contacted his ENRD supervisor and ENRD ethics officials to determine if his wife's holdings would impact his ability to remain on the investigation. After being advised that it would require him to be recused from the investigation, immediately did so. Additionally, admitted that he did not disclose his wife's financial holdings on his OGE Forms 450.
The Public Integrity Section declined to open a criminal investigation on retired from his position at ENRD and the Department, effective
The OIG has completed its investigation and is providing this report to ENRD and for their information and to the Department's Office of Professional Responsibility for appropriate action

The OIG previously submitted a Management Advisory Memorandum to the Department regarding potential conflicts of interest with its attorneys (https://oig.justice.gov/sites/default/files/reports/21-110.pdf).

Unless otherwise noted, the OIG applies the preponderance of the evidence standard in determining whether DOJ personnel have committed misconduct. The Merit Systems Protection Board applies this same standard when reviewing a federal agency's decision to take adverse action against an employee based on such misconduct. See 5 U.S.C. § 7701(c)(1)(B); 5 C.F.R. § 1201.56(b)(1)(ii).

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of the Inspector General

PAGE: 2
CASE NUMBER: 2021-000707
DATE: August 18, 2022



DETAILS OF INVESTIGATION

Predication

The Department of	Justice (DOJ) Office of the	Inspector General (OIG) initiated this investigation	upon the receipt of
	he Environment and Natu	ral Resources Division (ENRD) Ethics Office, alleging	g that on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6): (b)(7)(C) ENRD atte	orney (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) self-re	ported a potential financial conflict of interest with	an investigation to
which he was assig	ned in connection with a	detail to another DOJ comp <u>onen</u> t. In	was detailed to
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	ACCOUNT OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	to assist with an ongoing civ	vil investigation (b)(6):
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	In (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	consulted with ENRD ethics personnel reg	arding stock his wife
owned in (6)(6); (6)(7)(C)			

During the course of the OIG investigation, the OIG found indications that may have also failed to report stocks owned by his wife when he completed his required Office of Government Ethics (OGE) Forms 450, Confidential Financial Disclosure Report.

Investigative Process

The OIG's investigative efforts consisted of the following:

Interviews of the following DOJ personnel:

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)		
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	Trial Attorney (former)	

Review of the following:

- OGE 450 documentation for (b)(6): (b)(7)(C)
- Documentation related to (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) detail to (b)(7)(C)
- Information received from (b)(5): (b)(7)(C)

Financial Conflict of Interest

The information provided to the OIG alleged that from while detailed to work on an investigation of failed to disclose that his wife owned failed to disclose that his wife owned stock.

5 C.F.R. § 2635.402, Disqualifying financial interests, states that "an employee is prohibited by criminal statute, 18 U.S.C. 208(a), from participating personally and substantially in an official capacity in any particular matter in which, to his knowledge, he or any person whose interests are imputed to him under this statute has a financial interest, if the particular matter will have a direct and predictable effect on that interest." Section 2635.402(c) requires an employee to "disqualify himself from participating in a particular matter in which, to his knowledge, he or a person

U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General PAGE: 3

CASE NUMBER: 2021-000707



whose interests are imputed to him has a financial interest." The financial interests of an employee's spouse are imputed to the employee. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.402(b)(2)(i).

Review of documentation related to detail to detail to indicate that Blesi was contacted in if he was willing to volunteer for a detail to to work on the investigation. Investigation as signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). According to the MOU. was detailed	greed to the detail and
wife owned ((M)(S), (D)(7)(G) stock. This information showed that ((M)(S), (D)(7)(G) wife had ((M)(S), (D)(7)(G) wife (M)(7)(G)	ndicating that (b)(5)(c) mation further indicated
and that neither nor his wife atte stock transactions relating to his extension or around the time was detailed to work investigation or immediately after his recusal from that investigation and return to ENRD in	mpted to initiate any ing on the
During an interview with the OIG, (a)(6)(a)(7)(c) explained that (a)(6)(b)(7)(c) worked in (a)(6)(a)(7)(c). According to (a)(6)(a)(7)(c) the detail came about due to (a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(er to identify a second when more easily than anagers within the st appropriate person to
understood that his wife held a (9/6): (9/7)(C)	rom (6)(6): n (6)(7)(C) e (6)(7)(C) investigation told (6)(6): (6)(7)(C) he had
PROPERTY.	the supervise (%)(%) in is an honest and
During an interview with the OIG, Stated that in Stat	stock in well with the stock in stock i
U.S. Department of Justice	PAGE: 4

CASE NUMBER: 2021-000707



happened very quickly. told the OIG she felt bad that to get into trouble and she did not feel that intention stock ownership. thought that if the detail had not hat he should obtain his wife's financial information and disclose keep copies of the OGE 450 is so they are aware of circumstar when assigning work.	nally withheld the information regarding his wife's ppened so quickly, or might have recognized that the reason supervisors
forms differently; was unaware if the detailees completed detailees, also hired attorneys specifically to work on the development of questions to be used in hiring the new attorneys to final offers were made. explained that the funding used for attorneys to work on the specific lines investigation. for detailees and did not know who was. However, she suggestions are suggested in the suggestion of the sugg	According to she told him to recuse week to ensure that his wife had stock in had recused himself and who are required to file an OGE 450 are also required atter to ensure that conflicts are addressed at the onflict documentation and each of the divisions do the divisions or not. Said in addition to was involved in the eys to help identify potential conflicts of interest g for these positions was specific and could only be was not involved in vetting potential financial conflicts sted that managers in the detailed and time. The recalled and the eye and the detailed and the eye acched out to that
(b)(6): (b)(7)(C)	
During an interview with the OIG,	
told the OIG that she had spoken with color think about this wife's color to be detailed to make several attorneys available whom was consider it, and he did not think about his wife's color told the OIG.	he would be receiving a call from work on the first investigation. ENRD and ENRD sent two attorneys, one of aght volunteers for the detail but eventually they had ey did not receive the number of volunteers needed. She briefly
consider it, and he did not think about his wife's his possible of the Old the Old not volunteer with his wife's had no involvement with his wife's his possible of the Old not volunteer to be detailed to the management with his wife's his possible of the Old not volunteer to be detailed to management was directed to make several attorneys available whom was his possible of the various litigating groups because the possible of the various litigating groups because the discussed this matter with his possible of the various litigating groups because the possible of the possible of the various litigating groups because the possible of the	he would be receiving a call from work on the investigation. ENRD and ENRD sent two attorneys, one of aght volunteers for the detail but eventually they had ey did not receive the number of volunteers needed. She briefly dibeen asked to go on the detail, had very little time to at the time. According to explained he is she had no concerns about investigation. The related to ethics or ador, conflicts, substance abuse, or performance.
told the OIG that she had spoken with referred to ENRD's Ethics Official and the OIG. (a)(6)(-(b)(7)(C) inform According to (a)(6)(-(b)(7)(C) info	he work on the he would be receiving a call from work on the work on the he work on the detail but eventually they had been asked to go on the detail, had very little time to be the time. According to work on the work of the he was aware of the he was aware of the he work of the work of the work of the work of the he work of the work of the work of the work of the he was unaware of the he work of the he was unaware of the he work of the work of t

Office of the Inspector General

CASE NUMBER: 2021-000707 **DATE:** August 18, 2022



had to be recused but it was a different sort of situation. [0](6), (0)(7)(C) told the OIG he was unaware of any impact (0	
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) told the OIG that as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	
	5
work the investigation investigation Management working the investigation advised they needed more attorney	
than they had in the Division, so (100) eadership decided to bring on detailees from other components.	
could not recall any specific safeguards in place to avoid conflicts of interest. Each detailee spoke with the	_
management (D)(S)(S)(S)(T)(C) which was managing the (D)(S)(S)(S)(T)(C) investigation. (D)(S)(S)(S)(T)(C)	
and, according to any potential conflicts that the attorney had would have come up	
during the calls, but it was not the primary purpose of the calls. The calls were to determine if the attorneys would be a good fit based on their skills and interest. According to the process for detailees was different than	
the one used for bringing on new attorneys from outside of the DOJ. Like all newly hired employees in the	
Department, the newly hired attorneys had to go through the human resources and security processes.	
(a)(a)(a)(b)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)	- 5
These MOUs addressed issues such as the duration of the detail, who managed the detailee's day-to-day work	Κ,
and who reviewed and approved the detailee's time and attendance and leave submissions. (6)(6):(6)(7)(C) the	_
MOUs and they were executed by the parties. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	-
(b)(6): (b)(7)(C)	
to proceed with on-boarding the detailees. bids (bids) bid not know whether the detailees were asked to complete any sort of financial or conflict documentation. According to bids (bids) she was unaware of discussions	
about minimizing or avoiding detailees' conflicts of interest with the investigation. Attorneys hired from outside of	
DOJ were assessed for conflicts of interest and their hiring depended on them being conflict free. In contrast,	
according to the managers of the detailees' home divisions knew the detailees would be working on the	
investigation and should have screened the detailees for conflicts. In opinion, if another division	
put forth an attorney as a detailee, it should mean the attorney was both available to work on the matter and that	
the attorney was free from conflicts. (a)(6): (b)(7)(C) stated that on (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) tontacted her regarding the	
detail. described to him the roles and responsibilities of the detailees and drafted an email to send	
to his staff seeking a volunteer. On explained to the OIG she was aware that provided explained to the OIG she was aware that (NO) (NO) (NO) (NO) (NO) (NO) (NO) (NO)	
(6: (a)(7)(a) knew of no one who started	
working on the matter and later had to be recused, but she does not think that information would have made it to	
her attention. Additionally told the OIG that a couple times a year, an attorney will have to be recused	
from a matter because the investigation takes an unexpected turn and reveals a conflict for the attorney.	
Throughout the period during which was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, which was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, which was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, which was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, which was bringing on detailees from elsewhere in the Department, which was bringing on the bringing of the b	d
not communicate or coordinate with other components' ethics officials, and she did not recall any conversations	
regarding mitigating or avoiding conflicts of interest with regard to the detailees. said conflicts of interest are worked out during the onboarding process for new hires and therefore those managing the said conflicts of interest are worked out during the onboarding process for new hires and therefore those managing the said conflicts of interest with regard to the detailees.	
investigation may have assumed that the detailees had also been vetted.	
The standard of the standard o	
During an interview with the OIG working the working the nvestigation as well as other	
related cases. According to (b)(5)(c) attorneys from across the Department had been detailed to (b)(7)(c) to work on the	
investigation. Additionally, hired several new attorneys in the section specifically to support the hired several new attorneys in the section specifically to support the	
told the OIG he was unaware of any sort of formal process to ensure that attorneys assigned to the case did not have conflicts of interest specific to (100) (100) (100) (100)	
the case did not have conflicts of interest specific to had a conflict and that he had reported that he was unaware of the details of his wife's stock.	
explained (a)(6)(6)(7)(G) and could not recall a similar financial conflict in his time with	
said the MOU provided information specific to the (investigation and it was the individual attorney's	- 19.0
U.S. Department of Justice PAGE: 6	_

removed from the matter. explained he was aware of at least one other attorney who had a conflict and

CASE NUMBER: 2021-000707



responsibility to disclose the existence of any conflict. According to conflict had no negative impact on the investigation and was able to easily transition responsibilities to another attorney. During his time on the detail, had no problems getting along with others on the investigation. had no knowledge of living outside of his means and had no concerns regarding integrity. After being recused, went back to ENRD because the MOU did not allow him to remain at working on other matters. was not aware of any other conflicts that have arisen during the investigation.
b)(6); (b)(7)(C) b)(6); (b)(7)(C) According to (b)(7)(C) he was not aware of any (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) process for
According to he was not aware of any process for identifying potential conflicts of interest for the detailees, and he was unaware who, if anyone, was responsible for this. According to may have been involved with ensuring there were no conflicts of interest, but he was unsure.
During an interview with the OIG, (I)(G)(G)(G)(G)(G)(G)(G)(G)(G)(G)(G)(G)(G)
did not know how conflicts were assessed but explained that the standard MOU was not case specific and probably did not address conflicts specifically.
told the OIG that in his experience, typically conflicts among staff are identified at the onset of an investigation. It is much rarer that an employee would be conflicted out mid-matter. According to the Department's annual ethics training should have reinforced that employees have the responsibility to come forward and report any potential conflicting relationships.
During a voluntary OIG interview, (1)(7)(5) told the OIG that in (1)(6)(6)(7)(5) ENRD sent out an urgent email seeking volunteers for a detail to support the (1)(6)(6)(7)(5) investigation. (1)(6)(6)(7)(5) did not volunteer but was asked by his management if he would agree to go on detail (1)(7)(6) According to (1)(7)(6) there was an onboarding process, and an MOU was drafted assigning him to (1)(6)(7)(6) to work on the (1)(6)(7)(6) investigation. Approximately six months into working on the case, another attorney working on the (1)(6)(6)(7)(6) investigation had to be recused from the case and members of the team surmised it was due to a financial conflict of interest. According to (1)(7)(6) that caused him to think about
his wife's financial holdings and the fact that he did not know the details of those holdings. According to he was aware here have been been been been been been been be
explained that later that night, he asked his wife about her financial holdings and looked through the documentation she provided him. Initially did not see any stock, but he ultimately found documentation indicating that she owned stock for and the ENRD ethics attorney. He was advised by the ENRD Ethics Officials that he had to recuse himself from the financial conflicts or if he owned any stock in financial conflicts or if he owned any stock in financial conflicts or if he owned so fast. Was also not asked to complete any forms or another OGE 450 when he began his detail.
According to he did not attempt to initiate any stock transactions relating to he did not attempt to initiate any stock transactions relating to he did not attempt to initiate any stock transactions relating to he did not attempt to initiate any stock transactions relating to he did not attempt to initiate any stock transactions relating to he did not attempt to investigation. He had no knowledge of his wife initiating any stock transactions relating to his wife initiation and his wife

CASE NUMBER: 2021-000707

PAGE: 7



The Public Integrity Section declined to open a criminal investigation on

OIG's Conclusion

The OIG investigation concluded that did not knowingly participate personally and substantially in a particular matter in which his wife had a financial interest. As discussed above, as soon as became aware of his wife's financial interests, he disqualified himself from participating in the investigation in accordance with 5 C.F.R. § 2635.402(c).

However, the OIG investigation found that despite recently adopting a process to screen attorneys for conflicts in the wake of a negative decision in an unrelated investigation, inv assigned to join its investigation. who had helped set up the screening procedures which required all attorneys working on cases to state affirmatively that they did not have a conflict, was unable to explain why the procedures were not used to screen DOJ attorneys from other components who were detailed to the investigation. None of the other witnesses interviewed said they were involved in screening the detailees for conflicts, nor were they able to explain the conflicts screening process or identify who was responsible for ensuring the screening occurred.

While may have been the only detailee who had a financial conflict of interest, did not implement a screening mechanism to ensure that detailees were not brought on to the case if they had potential conflicts. This is exceptionally important given that was recently negatively impacted when it failed to disclose a potential conflict in an unrelated matter. While none of the witnesses whom the OIG interviewed in this matter were aware of a negative impact from apparent conflict with the nvestigation, that investigation is still ongoing, and the disclosure of this apparent conflict might still have negative implications.

Failure to Investigate and Disclose his Wife's Financial Interests on his OGE Forms 450

The information developed by the OIG indicated that [Signal failed to fully disclose his wife's financial interests on his confidential financial disclosure report (OGE Form 450) filed in

Title I of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. app. 101), Executive Order 12674 (as modified by Executive Order 12731), and 5 C.F.R. Part 2634, Subpart I, of the Office of Government Ethics (OGE) regulations require certain employees whose duties involve a heightened risk of potential or actual conflicts of interest to file confidential financial disclosure reports each year. In particular, the regulations specifically require all filers to disclose ownership of individual stocks valued at more than \$1,000 for themselves, their spouses, and their dependent children. 5 C.F.R. § 2634.907. The information disclosed on the OGE Form 450 is intended to assist officials of the employee's agency in determining the filer's compliance with applicable Federal conflict of interest laws and regulations, and to assist the filer's supervisors in avoiding making assignments that would create financial conflicts. Employees who fail to file the required reports, who file those reports late, or who falsify or fail to report the required information may be disciplined for misconduct. 5 C.F.R. § 2634.701(d).

OGE Form 450 contains guidance for the filer on the form itself. The filer is directed as follows:

Step 1: Read the instructions for Parts I through V on the following pages.

Step 2: For each statement below, check Yes or No to describe your situation.

I. I have reportable assets or sources of income for myself, my spouse, or my Yes No dependent children.

U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General PAGE:

CASE NUMBER: 2021-000707



II. I have reportable liabilities (debts) for myself, my spouse, or my dependent children.	Yes	No
III. I have reportable outside positions for myself.	Yes	No
IV. I have reportable agreements or arrangements for myself.	Yes	No
NOTE: Statement V is for annual filers only. It does not apply to new entrants and SGEs. V. I have reportable gifts or travel reimbursements for myself, my spouse, or my dependent children.	Yes	No

Part I of the directions identify the categories of "Assets and Income" that the filer must report for "Yourself, Spouse, and Dependent Child." Those directions identify, in pertinent part,

"Assets held for investment or the production of income that ended the reporting period with a value greater than \$1,000.

Reportable assets include, but are not limited to:

-Assets such as stocks, bonds, annuities, trust holdings, partnership interests, life insurance, investment real estate, or a privately-held trade or business[.]"

The form further instructs the filer on how to report a specific stock and provides examples (e.g., "OGC Communications (OGC) (Example of a stock with a ticker symbol)") (emphasis in original).

The form requires the employee to sign the form, certifying that "the statements I have made on this form and all attached statements are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge."

A review of (NO) OGE Forms 450 indicated that (NO) signed each form with the above quoted certification but did not report his wife's ownership of (NO) or other stocks for (NO) According to officials at ENRD, (NO) OGE Form 450 for (NO) before he went on detail (NO) (NO)
The OIG also reviewed the OGE Form 450 that filed in which he submitted after the conflict came to light. The OGE Form 450, which covered calendar year reported reported individually held stocks, compared to none reported in In addition to wife also owned stock which could have been of interest to ENRD managers when they assigned work to wife had no conflicts of interest.
None of the employees the OIG interviewed had direct knowledge of
During an interview with the OIG, posterior explained that was required to report his wife's stocks on his annual OGE Form 450, but she was unaware if he did. Furthermore, she explained that the reason supervisors keep copies of the OGE Forms 450 is so they know where potential conflicts may arise when they assign work stated that first-line supervisors are responsible for reviewing and maintaining the OGE 450s within ENRD.
explained to the OIG that in ENRD' OGE Forms 450 are reviewed by first-line supervisors. Went on to say that she probably would have been made aware if someone had not completed his 450 as required, but had no knowledge of issues with OGE Forms 450.

U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General

CASE NUMBER: 2021-000707

DATE: August 18, 2022

PAGE: 9



During a voluntary interview, told the OIG he	and he was aware of (0)(7)(C) that his
wife held wife held	According to he did not
know the specifics (a)(5)(6)(7)(C) and he never asked	his wife about it. Because he had no knowledge of the specifics
and his wife's financial holdings, he did	not report those holdings on his OGE Forms 450 after their
	G he had no involvement or say in her holdings. [6)(6): said he did
not purposefully omit his wife's financial holdings o	n his OGE 450s. He explained that after he learned of the details
of the holdings and he was recused from the (19)(6): (9)(7)	investigation, he inquired with ethics officials to determine if
he needed to update his calendar year (b)(6): OGE	Form 450 to reflect his wife's holdings, but he could not recall if
he had actually re-filed the form with the amended	information.

The Public Integrity Section declined to open a criminal investigation on

OIG's Conclusion

The OIG investigation concluded that had an affirmative responsibility to know his financial interests as well as those interests that are imputed to him. He should have taken action to determine his wife's financial holdings so that he could report them fully and accurately on his annual confidential financial disclosure form (OGE Form 450).

[Signature to investigate his wife's financial interests, which were imputed to him, and report them as required by Executive Order, constituted administrative misconduct in violation of 5 C.F.R. § 2634.701(d).

A copy of the OIG's final report and the public summary regarding this investigation were reviewed by During the review annotated his comments and provided them back to the OIG for inclusion into this report.

CASE NUMBER: 2021-000707

PAGE: 10