The Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General (OIG) today released a report examining allegations that Teresa Carlson, the former Special Agent in Charge of the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s (FBI) Milwaukee Field Office, tampered with a witness in a civil case. Carlson is currently an Acting Deputy Assistant Director at FBI Headquarters.

The investigation was initiated after a complaint was submitted to the OIG by the attorney for Justin Slaby, a telecommunications specialist with the Critical Incident Response Group’s Hostage Rescue Team at the FBI. Slaby had previously filed a disability discrimination complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia alleging that the FBI refused to consider reasonable accommodations such as the use of a prosthesis for Slaby, an Army veteran who lost his left hand when a grenade prematurely detonated, and wrongly disqualified him as a New Agent Trainee at the FBI Academy in Quantico, Virginia. The complaint to the OIG followed a May 2013 pleading in the litigation containing the same allegations against Carlson.

 Shortly after the OIG received the complaint from Slaby’s attorney, the FBI’s Inspection Division contacted the OIG about allegations that Carlson had tried to influence the testimony of Special Agent Mark Crider in a deposition for the Slaby lawsuit. Later in our investigation, we received an allegation that in a separate incident, Carlson had admonished another agent in the Milwaukee Field Office for providing information to FBI inspectors about the office’s communications with local law enforcement in connection with a mass shooting at a Sikh temple in August 2012.

We concluded that Carlson conducted herself unprofessionally and exhibited extremely poor judgment when she made statements to Crider relating to his deposition in the Slaby lawsuit. We also concluded that Carlson’s statements to Crider created the appearance that she was attempting to improperly influence his deposition testimony. We similarly concluded that Carlson’s conduct was highly inappropriate and reflected a troubling lack of judgment when she admonished another agent for his comments to an FBI inspection team about the Milwaukee Field Office’s handling of the Sikh temple shooting. We found that Carlson’s conduct created the appearance that she discouraged her subordinates from speaking candidly with inspectors. We referred our findings of Carlson’s conduct to the FBI for a determination of whether disciplinary or other administrative action is warranted.

The report released publicly today contains redactions of information that the FBI and the U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Eastern District of Virginia asserted is protected by the attorney-client privilege or attorney work-product doctrine, as well as redactions that the FBI determined are necessary to protect law enforcement sensitive information or the privacy of individuals. In addition, the OIG made a limited number of redactions to protect the privacy interest of an individual.