

## U.S. Department of Justice

Peter J. Smith *United States Attorney* Middle District of Pennsylvania

William J. Nealon Federal Building Suite 311 235 N. Washington Avenue P.O. Box 309 Scranton, PA 18503-0309 (570) 348-2800 FAX (570) 348-2037/348-2830

228 Walnut Street P.O. Box 11754 Harrisburg, PA 17108-1754 (717) 221-4482 FAX (717) 221-4493/221-2246

Ronald Reagan Federal Building Suite Herman T. Schneebeli Federal Building Suite 316 240 West Third Street Williamsport, PA 17701-6465 (570) 326-1935 FAX (570) 326-7916

Please respond to: Harrisburg

February 21, 2013

## **NEWS RELEASE**

## FORMER U.S. CORRECTIONS OFFICER PLEADS GUILTY TO THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY

The United States Attorney's Office for the Middle District of Pennsylvania announced that a former correctional officer at the United States Penitentiary-Lewisburg pleaded guilty today to a charge of theft of government property in federal court in Williamsport before U.S. District Court Judge Christopher C. Conner.

According to United States Attorney Peter J. Smith, a criminal Information was filed in January 2013 charging Fred Hagenbuch, age 52, of Danville, Pennsylvania, with theft of government property. The property stolen was from the Federal Correctional Complex at Allenwood and included electrical conduit, fence post, and mesh fencing valued at approximately \$1,545. At the time of the theft in December 2010, Hagenbuch was employed as a Senior Officer Specialist at the Lewisburg Penitentiary.

This case was investigated by the U.S. Department of Justice's Office of the Inspector General. The case was prosecuted by Assistant U.S. Attorney William Simmers.

A sentence following a finding of quilty is imposed by the Judge after consideration of the applicable federal sentencing statutes and the Federal Sentencing Guidelines.

In this particular case, the maximum penalty under the federal statute is 10 years' imprisonment, a term of supervised release following imprisonment, and a fine. Under the Federal Sentencing

Guidelines, the Judge is also required to consider and weigh a number of factors, including the nature, circumstances and seriousness of the offense; the history and characteristics of the defendant; and the need to punish the defendant, protect the public and provide for the defendant's educational, vocational and medical needs. For these reasons, the statutory maximum penalty for the offense is not an accurate indicator of the potential sentence for a specific defendant.

\*\*\*\*