The Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General (OIG) today released a report examining the Drug Enforcement Administration’s (DEA) accounting for Permanent Change of Station (PCS) transfers. The OIG’s report found that the DEA established sound practices for the management of transfer activities and appeared to have adequate controls over resources expended on PCS transfers.

As the primary federal law enforcement agency charged with enforcing the controlled substances laws and regulations of the United States, the DEA routinely transfers personnel among its domestic and international offices to accomplish its mission. This is done through PCS transfers, which often involve numerous expenses, including air travel for the transferee and dependents, shipment and storage of household goods, compensation for the sale or purchase of a residence, and temporary housing costs. As of January 23, 2013, the DEA’s PCS expenditures for transfers initiated in fiscal year (FY) 2010 - the most recent year for which PCS-related expenses are generally complete and available - amounted to $35,745,853. On average, each PCS transfer that year cost the DEA $45,015, with a median cost of $34,455.

The OIG audit tested PCS-related documents that included expenditure records totaling more than $2 million and identified only five discrepancies totaling $1,656; the DEA has taken appropriate steps to address all five discrepancies. The remaining PCS expenditures we tested were all allowable and in accordance with the General Services Administration’s (GSA) Federal Travel Regulation.

We also noted that, in addition to appropriately monitoring its primary GSA-approved contractors, the DEA appeared to have taken initiative in its management of PCS transfer activities by negotiating reduced rates with contractors, developing an electronic system for transfer activities, and maintaining a library of DEA-specific PCS-related handbooks, all resulting in potentially significant monetary savings for the government.

Our audit of DEA’s management of PCS transfers did not include an evaluation of the justifications for the transfers or their appropriateness, and it did not assess the DEA’s use of, or expenses relating to, other mechanisms federal agencies use to transfer personnel, such as temporary duty assignments.